Year	Pres.	House	Senate	Democrats	Republicans	
1900	R	R	R			
1904	R	R	R			
1908	R	R	R			
1912	R	D	R			
1916	D	D	D			
1920	D	R	R			
1924	R	R	R			
1928	R	R	R			
1932	R	R	R			
1936	D	D	D			
1940	D	D	D			
1944	D	D	D			
1948	D	R	R			
1952	D	D	D			
1956	R	D	D			
1960	R	D	D			
1964	. D	D	D			
1968	D	D	D			
1972	R	D	D			
1976		D	D	Working through and supporting such organizations as the United Nations Environmental Program, we will join other governments in more effective efforts to preserve the quality and resources of the oceans; to preserve endangered species of fish and wildlife; to reverse the encroachment of the deserts, the erosion of the world's agricultural lands, and the accelerating destruction of its forests; to limit pollution of the atmosphere; and to control alterations of the global climate.		
1980	D	D	D	New efforts at home and abroad will be required in the early 1980s to face squarely such global problems as the destruction of forests, the loss of countless irreplaceable species, growing world population, acid rain, and carbon dioxide buildup.		
1984	R	D	R			
1988	R	D	D	that regular world environmental summits should be convened by the United States to address the depletion of the ozone layer, the "greenhouse effect," the destruction of tropical forests and other global threats and to create a global action plan for environmental restoration.	A similar ability to develop international agreements to solve complex global problems such as tropical forest destruction, ocean dumping, climate change, and earthquakes will be increasingly vital in the years ahead. All of these efforts will require strong and experienced leadership to lead the other nations of the world in a common effort to combat ecological dangers that threaten all peoples.	

1992 R	D		Democrats know we must act now to save the health of the earth, and the health of our children, for generations to come The United States must become a leader, not an impediment, in the fight against global warming. We should join our European allies in agreeing to limit carbon dioxide emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2000.	Adverse changes in climate must be the common concern of mankind. At the same time, we applaud our President for personally confronting the international bureaucrats at the Rio Conference. He refused to accept their anti-American demands for income redistribution and won instead a global climate treaty that relies on real action plans rather than arbitrary targets hostile to U.S. growth and workers.	Senate approves UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
1996 D	R		We will seek a strong international agreement to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions worldwide and protect our global climate.	The United States should continue its commitment to addressing global climate change in a prudent and effective manner that does not punish the U.S. economy. Despite scientific uncertainty about the role of human activity in climate change, the Clinton Administration has leapfrogged over reasoned scientific inquiry and now favors misdirected measures, such as binding targets and timetables, imposed only on the United States and certain other developed countries, to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Republicans deplore the arbitrary and premature abandonment of the previous policy of voluntary reductions of greenhouse gas emissions. We further deplore ceding U.S. sovereignty on environmental issues to international bureaucrats and our foreign economic competitors.	Hoover Institute publishes optimistic view of climate change
2000 R	R		=	As environmental issues become increasingly international, progress will increasingly depend on strong and credible presidential leadership. Complex and contentious issues like global warming call for a far more realistic approach than that of the Kyoto Conference. Its deliberations were not based on the best science; its proposed agreements would be ineffective and unfair inasmuch as they do not apply to the developing world; and the current administration is still trying to implement it, without authority of law. More research is needed to understand both the cause and the impact of global warming. That is why the Kyoto treaty was repudiated in a lopsided, bipartisan Senate vote. A Republican president will work with businesses and with other nations to reduce harmful emissions through new technologies without compromising America's sovereignty or competitiveness — and without forcing Americans to walk to work Whatever the theories on global warming, a treaty that does not include China and exempts "developing" countries from necessary standards while penalizing American industry is not in the national interest.	
2001 R	R	D			Bush withdraws from Kyoto Protocol

2004 R	R	R	And climate change is a major international	Republicans are committed to meeting the	
2004 K		N	-	Republicans are committed to meeting the challenge of long-term global climate change by relying on markets and new technologies to improve energy efficiency. These efforts will help reduce emissions over time while allowing the economy to grow. Our President and our Party strongly oppose the Kyoto Protocol and similar mandatory carbon emissions controls that harm economic growth and destroy American jobs.	
2007 R	D	D			EPA ordered to publish a rule requiring public reporting of greenhouse gases from large sources
2008 R	D	D	science of climate change and the need to act, we still believe that America can be earth's best hope. We will implement a market-based cap	The same human economic activity that has brought freedom and opportunity to billions has also increased the amount of carbon in the atmosphere. While the scope and long-term consequences of this are the subject of ongoing scientific research, common sense dictates that the United States should take measured and reasonable steps today to reduce any impact on the environment Any policies should be global in nature, based on sound science and technology, and should not harm the economy As part of a global climate change strategy, Republicans support technology-driven, market-based solutions that will decrease emissions, reduce excess greenhouse gasses in the atmosphere, increase energy efficiency, mitigate the impact of climate change where it occurs, and maximize any ancillary benefits climate change might offer for the economy Because the issue of climate change is global, it must become a truly global concern as well. All developed and developing economies, particularly India and China, can make significant contributions in dealing with the matter. It would be unrealistic and counterproductive to expect the U.S. to carry burdens which are more appropriately shared by all Republicans caution against the doomsday climate change scenarios peddled by the aficionados of centralized command-and-control government. We can — and should — address the risk of climate change based on sound science without succumbing to the no-growth radicalism that treats climate questions as dogma rather than as situations to be managed responsibly A robust economy will be essential to dealing with the risk of climate change, and we will insist on reasonable policies that do not force Americans to sacrifice their way of life or trim their hopes and dreams for their children.	from large sources
2009 D	D	D			Obama releases mileage and emission

2012 D	R	D	We know that global climate change is one of	Finally, the strategy subordinates our national	
2012 D	N	U	the biggest threats of this generation - an	security interests to environmental, energy, and	
			economic, environmental, and national security	international health issues, and elevates "climate	
			catastrophe in the making. We affirm the science	-	
			of climate change, commit to significantly	equivalent to foreign aggression.	
			reducing the pollution that causes climate	equivalent to foreign aggression.	
			change, and know we have to meet this		
			challenge by driving smart policies that lead to		
			greater growth in clean energy generation and		
			result in a range of economic and social benefits.		
			Democrats pledge to continue showing		
			international leadership on climate change,		
			working toward an agreement to set emission		
			limits in unison with other emerging powers.		
			Democrats will continue pursuing efforts to		
			combat climate change at home as well, because		
			reducing our emissions domestically - through		
			regulation and market solutions - is necessary to		
			continue being an international leader on this		
			issue The change wrought by a warming		
			planet will lead to new conflicts over refugees		
			and resources; new suffering from drought and		
			famine; catastrophic natural disasters; and the		
			degradation of vital ecosystems across the globe.		
			degradation of vital ecosystems deross the globe.		
2046 D				Information and a selection of the selec	
2016 D	R	R	Climate change is an urgent threat and a defining		
			challenge of our time Democrats share a deep	1	
			commitment to tackling the climate challenge; creating millions of good-paying middle class	must be based on dispassionate analysis of hard data. We will enforce that standard throughout	
			jobs; reducing greenhouse gas emissions more	the executive branch, among civil servants and	
			than 80 percent below 2005 levels by 2050; and	presidential appointees alike. The United	
			meeting the pledge President Obama put	Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate	
			forward in the landmark Paris Agreement, which	Change is a political mechanism, not an unbiased	
			aims to keep global temperature increases to	scientific institution. Its unreliability is reflected	
			"well below" two degrees Celsius and to pursue	in its intolerance toward scientists and others	
			efforts to limit global temperature increases to	who dissent from its orthodoxy. We will evaluate	
			1.5 degrees Celsius We believe the United	its recommendations accordingly. We reject the	
			States must lead in forging a robust global	agendas of both the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris	
			solution to the climate crisis. We are committed	Agreement, which represent only the personal	
			to a national mobilization, and to leading a	commitments of their signatories; no such	
			global effort to mobilize nations to address this	agreement can be binding upon the United	
			threat on a scale not seen since World War II.	States until it is submitted to and ratified by the	
				Senate.	
2017 R	R	R			Trump removes
2017 K	1	IX.			climate change as a
					national security
					threat
2019 R	D	R			Protests to support
2013 11		,			fighting climate
					change led by Greta
					Thunberg
				ı	dilbeig

Climate change is a global emergency. We have no time to waste in taking action to protect Americans' lives and futures As Democrats, we believe the scientists: the window for unprecedented and necessary action is closing, and closing fast. Democrats reject the false choice between growing our economy and combating climate change; we can and must do	
we believe the scientists: the window for unprecedented and necessary action is closing, and closing fast. Democrats reject the false choice between growing our economy and	
unprecedented and necessary action is closing, and closing fast. Democrats reject the false choice between growing our economy and	
and closing fast. Democrats reject the false choice between growing our economy and	
choice between growing our economy and	
5 5 ,	
compating climate change; we can and must do	
combating cirriate change, we can and must do	
both at the same time We will rejoin the Paris	
Climate Agreement and, on day one, seek higher	
ambition from nations around the world, putting	
the United States back in the position of global	
leadership where we belong We agree with	
scientists and public health experts that the	
United States—and the world—must achieve net-	
zero greenhouse gas emissions as soon as	
possible, and no later than 2050 To reach net-	
zero emissions as rapidly as possible, Democrats	
commit to eliminating carbon pollution from	
power plants by 2035 through technology-	
neutral standards for clean energy and energy	
efficiency We will require public companies to	
disclose climate risks and greenhouse gas	
emissions in their operations and supply chains.	
	neutral standards for clean energy and energy efficiency We will require public companies to disclose climate risks and greenhouse gas